IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 15052 of 2004

Between:

1 Syed Khaddar Basha, S/o John Bude Saheb,
2 Pasala Ramanamma, W/o Malakondaiah,
3 Pasala Kotaiah, S/o Naraiah,
4 Pasala Kondaiah, S/o Naraiah.
5 Dandeboyina Koteswaramma, W/o China Malakondaiah,
6 Dandeboyina Padma, W/o 3rd Malakondaiah,
7 Pasala Rangamma, W/o Mala Kondaiah,
8 Dandeboyina Narayana, W/o Mallikharjuna,
9 Gogula Ragamma, W/o Malakondaiah,
10 Dandeboyina Jayamma, W/o Peda Malakondaiah,
11 Moruboyina Kondamma, W/o Balaiah,
All are Hindus, Occ: Land holders, R/o K. Agraharam (V), Ponnalur (M), Prakasam District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The District Collector, Prakasam District at Ongole.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kandukur, Prakasam District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Ponnalur Mandal, Prakasam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in contemplating to cancel D-Form Pattas granted in their favour without any violation of conditions on our part and without following due process of law as illegal, irregular, violative of Arts. 14, 19 , 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India and also the provisions of A.P. Board of Revenue Standing Orders and consequently direct the respondents not to disturb the possession of the petitioners over the lands respectively assigned in their favour.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.SATYANARAYANA NIMMAGADDA
Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following ORDER :

The petitioners complain that they have been granted D-Form Pattas, which the respondents are now contemplating to cancel in order to assign the same to other persons.

No writ can be issued on the contemplated action likely to be initiated against the petitioners. As and when such an action is initiated, it is always open for the petitioners to question the same before the appropriate authorities.

I see no grounds to entertain the writ petition and the writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

A.Gopal Reddy, J

Date: 24.08.2004

va

To

1 The District Collector, Prakasam District at Ongole.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kandukur, Prakasam District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Ponnalur Mandal, Prakasam District.

4 Two CCs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court of A.P. Buildings,
Hyderabad (OUT)

5 Two CD Copies